

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/720,942	Applicant(s) FOX ET AL.	
	Examiner Shaojia A. Jiang	Art Unit 1617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shaojia A. Jiang.

(3) Mr. Frederick H. Rabin. *FHR*

(2) Padmanabhan, Sreeni. *[Signature]*

(4) Mr. John McKnight *JM*

Date of Interview: 24 June 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

① Applicant's attorney would consider to limit the base ^{claim} to recite the airborne microorganism ^{are} vaporized not participate
② applicant would consider to file comparative data
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

③ Applicant would file a Terminal disclaimer to overcome the double patenting rejection

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

[Signature] 6/24/03
Examiner's signature, if required